

公立学校施設の耐震改修状況調査結果について(都道府県別)

平成18(2006)年12月31日現在

都道府県名	全棟数	S57年以降	S56年以前	S56年以前の 全棟数に占める割合	耐震診断 実施済棟数	耐震 診断実施率	耐震診断実施 率順位	耐震診断 H18年度中 実施予定	統廃合・改築 等の計画	「耐震診断実施済棟数」に 「改築・統廃合等の計画」 がある棟の割合を加えた場 合	「耐震診断実施済棟数」と 「H18年度中実施予定」 に「改築・統廃合等の計 画」がある棟の割合を加え た場合	S56年以前建 築の棟で耐震 性がある、及 び既に補修済 の棟数	耐震化率	耐震化率順位	S56年以前 の建物に係 る耐震化率
	A	B	C	D=C/A	E	F=E/C	G	H	I=(E+H)/C	J=(E+G+H)/C	K	L=(B+K)/A	M=K/C		N=M/C
1 北海道	82	33	49	59.8%	6	12.2% (4.1%)	42 (42)	4	9	30.6% (18.4%)	38.8%	2	42.7% (40.2%)	32 (32)	4.1%
2 青森	10	4	6	60.0%	1	16.7% (16.7%)	38 (26)	3	0	16.7% (16.7%)	66.7%	0	40.0% (40.0%)	34 (33)	0.0%
3 岩手	37	15	22	59.5%	14	63.6% (23.8%)	12 (23)	4	3	77.3% (76.2%)	95.5%	6	56.8% (56.8%)	18 (17)	27.3%
4 宮城	80	55	25	31.3%	9	36.0% (34.8%)	29 (16)	0	1	40.0% (47.8%)	40.0%	8	78.8% (77.6%)	3 (4)	32.0%
5 秋田	24	15	9	37.5%	7	77.8% (55.6%)	6 (8)	1	1	88.9% (77.8%)	100.0%	0	62.5% (83.3%)	14 (1)	0.0%
6 山形	16	6	10	62.5%	8	80.0% (0.0%)	4 (44)	0	0	80.0% (0.0%)	80.0%	0	37.5% (40.0%)	36 (33)	0.0%
7 福島	210	109	101	48.1%	12	11.9% (10.9%)	43 (34)	26	17	28.7% (30.7%)	54.5%	2	52.9% (52.9%)	20 (19)	2.0%
8 茨城	173	31	142	82.1%	35	24.6% (14.8%)	34 (28)	36	12	33.1% (40.1%)	58.5%	14	26.0% (27.0%)	45 (44)	9.9%
9 栃木	6	2	4	66.7%	2	50.0% (25.0%)	18 (21)	0	2	100.0% (75.0%)	100.0%	0	33.3% (33.3%)	41 (41)	0.0%
10 群馬	104	43	61	58.7%	25	41.0% (31.1%)	23 (19)	9	9	55.7% (49.2%)	70.5%	16	56.7% (53.8%)	19 (18)	26.2%
11 埼玉	70	24	46	65.7%	15	32.6% (13.2%)	31 (33)	0	4	41.3% (28.3%)	41.3%	5	41.4% (36.4%)	33 (35)	10.9%
12 千葉	122	56	66	54.1%	41	62.1% (57.8%)	13 (6)	2	3	66.7% (68.8%)	69.7%	30	70.5% (70.1%)	8 (7)	45.5%
13 東京	240	58	182	75.8%	142	78.0% (79.2%)	5 (3)	5	2	79.1% (81.5%)	81.9%	124	75.8% (72.3%)	4 (5)	68.1%
14 神奈川	73	18	55	75.3%	42	76.4% (75.9%)	7 (4)	5	0	76.4% (77.8%)	85.5%	35	72.6% (72.2%)	6 (6)	63.6%
15 新潟	53	25	28	52.8%	3	10.7% (7.4%)	44 (38)	5	2	17.9% (25.9%)	35.7%	2	50.9% (51.9%)	22 (20)	7.1%
16 富山	36	12	24	66.7%	3	12.5% (4.2%)	41 (41)	2	2	20.8% (16.7%)	29.2%	1	36.1% (36.1%)	37 (36)	4.2%
17 石川	9	1	8	88.9%	2	25.0% (0.0%)	33 (44)	4	2	50.0% (25.0%)	100.0%	0	11.1% (11.1%)	47 (47)	0.0%
18 福井	59	25	34	57.6%	7	20.6% (14.7%)	37 (30)	4	0	20.6% (20.6%)	32.4%	5	50.8% (49.2%)	23 (23)	14.7%
19 山梨	4	2	2	50.0%	0	0.0% (0.0%)	46 (44)	1	1	50.0% (0.0%)	100.0%	0	50.0% (50.0%)	25 (21)	0.0%
20 長野	12	6	6	50.0%	3	50.0% (14.3%)	18 (31)	0	1	66.7% (28.6%)	66.7%	1	58.3% (50.0%)	17 (21)	16.7%
21 岐阜	109	30	79	72.5%	55	69.6% (65.3%)	8 (5)	6	8	79.7% (77.3%)	87.3%	24	49.5% (45.1%)	27 (26)	30.4%
22 静岡	308	146	162	52.6%	159	98.1% (97.0%)	2 (1)	1	2	99.4% (99.4%)	100.0%	110	83.1% (79.4%)	1 (3)	67.9%
23 愛知	141	65	76	53.9%	68	89.5% (89.6%)	3 (2)	0	3	93.4% (96.1%)	93.4%	52	83.0% (81.7%)	2 (2)	68.4%
24 三重	208	104	104	50.0%	58	55.8% (46.8%)	16 (9)	16	4	59.6% (66.1%)	75.0%	48	73.1% (66.8%)	5 (10)	46.2%
25 滋賀	233	126	107	45.9%	37	34.6% (14.8%)	30 (28)	15	8	42.1% (25.0%)	56.1%	13	59.7% (58.4%)	16 (15)	12.1%
26 京都	83	22	61	73.5%	40	65.6% (41.9%)	11 (11)	10	1	67.2% (45.2%)	83.6%	10	38.6% (35.6%)	35 (37)	16.4%
27 大阪	424	93	331	78.1%	134	40.5% (31.8%)	24 (17)	3	2	41.1% (33.3%)	42.0%	58	35.6% (34.1%)	39 (40)	17.5%
28 兵庫	543	214	329	60.6%	227	69.0% (14.0%)	9 (32)	102	0	69.0% (100.0%)	100.0%	28	44.6% (43.4%)	29 (27)	8.5%
29 奈良	249	77	172	69.1%	171	99.4% (31.4%)	1 (18)	0	0	99.4% (34.3%)	99.4%	11	35.3% (34.8%)	40 (39)	6.4%
30 和歌山	70	41	29	41.4%	18	62.1% (56.7%)	13 (7)	5	6	82.8% (90.0%)	100.0%	5	65.7% (68.8%)	9 (9)	17.2%
31 鳥取	10	5	5	50.0%	0	0.0% (25.0%)	46 (21)	0	4	80.0% (75.0%)	80.0%	0	50.0% (42.9%)	25 (29)	0.0%
32 島根	84	51	33	39.3%	8	24.2% (18.6%)	35 (24)	2	4	36.4% (39.5%)	42.4%	4	65.5% (58.3%)	10 (16)	12.1%
33 岡山	332	138	194	58.4%	93	47.9% (7.7%)	20 (37)	28	5	50.5% (13.9%)	64.9%	8	44.0% (43.4%)	31 (27)	4.1%
34 広島	99	25	74	74.7%	11	14.9% (9.2%)	40 (36)	6	1	16.2% (10.5%)	24.3%	1	26.3% (24.2%)	43 (45)	1.4%
35 山口	57	10	47	82.5%	17	36.2% (30.8%)	28 (20)	0	3	42.6% (34.6%)	42.6%	5	26.3% (27.7%)	43 (43)	10.6%
36 徳島	152	46	106	69.7%	48	45.3% (38.7%)	21 (13)	4	17	61.3% (58.5%)	65.1%	2	31.6% (31.6%)	42 (42)	1.9%
37 香川	163	60	103	63.2%	22	21.4% (17.5%)	36 (25)	6	10	31.1% (29.1%)	36.9%	12	44.2% (41.7%)	30 (30)	11.7%
38 愛媛	61	26	35	57.4%	19	54.3% (37.1%)	17 (14)	1	5	68.6% (54.3%)	71.4%	5	50.8% (49.2%)	23 (23)	14.3%
39 高知	20	9	11	55.0%	4	36.4% (36.4%)	27 (15)	0	0	36.4% (54.5%)	36.4%	3	60.0% (61.9%)	15 (14)	27.3%
40 福岡	81	28	53	65.4%	20	37.7% (9.5%)	26 (35)	7	8	52.8% (31.7%)	66.0%	1	35.8% (35.2%)	38 (38)	1.9%
41 佐賀	14	9	5	35.7%	2	40.0% (40.0%)	25 (12)	0	0	40.0% (40.0%)	40.0%	1	71.4% (69.2%)	7 (8)	20.0%
42 長崎	51	10	41	80.4%	13	31.7% (0.0%)	32 (44)	1	2	36.6% (24.4%)	39.0%	0	19.6% (19.6%)	46 (46)	0.0%
43 熊本	34	16	18	52.9%	11	61.1% (42.1%)	15 (10)	4	2	72.2% (52.6%)	94.4%	6	64.7% (62.9%)	12 (13)	33.3%
44 大分	125	61	64	51.2%	5	7.8% (4.4%)	45 (40)	18	9	21.9% (26.5%)	50.0%	3	51.2% (40.5%)	21 (31)	4.7%
45 宮崎	14	8	6	42.9%	1	16.7% (16.7%)	38 (26)	4	1	33.3% (16.7%)	100.0%	1	64.3% (64.3%)	13 (12)	16.7%
46 鹿児島	62	26	36	58.1%	24	66.7% (5.6%)	10 (39)	6	0	66.7% (5.6%)	83.3%	2	45.2% (45.2%)	28 (25)	5.6%
47 沖縄	302	196	106	35.1%	46	43.4% (2.8%)	22 (43)	28	2	45.3% (81.7%)	71.7%	0	64.9% (64.5%)	11 (11)	0.0%
合 計	5,449	2,182	3,267	60.0%	1,688	51.7% (31.7%)		384	178	57.1% (52.3%)	68.9%	664	52.2% (50.5%)		20.3%

1 ()内の数値については、平成18年4月1日現在の値。
 2 「耐震診断H18年度中実施予定」は、設置者において財政部局等の関係部局と調整のうえ、耐震診断を平成18年度中に必ず実施できるものとしている。
 3 「統廃合・改築等の計画」は、統廃合・廃校・改築・取壊しの年度が決定しているもの、園児・教職員等が全く使用していないものとしている。