

特殊教育諸学校

公立学校施設の耐震改修状況調査結果について(都道府県別)

平成18(2006)年12月31日現在

都道府県名	全棟数	S57年以降		S56年以前の 全棟数に占める割合	耐震診断 実施済棟数	耐震 診断実施率	耐震診断実施率順位		耐震診断 H18年度中 実施予定	統廃合・改築 等の計画	「耐震診断実施済棟数」に 「改築・統廃合等の計画」 がある棟の割合を加工した場合		「耐震診断実施済棟数」と 「H18年度中実施予定」 に「改築・統廃合等の計 画」がある棟の割合を加工 した場合		S56年以前 建築の棟で耐震 性がある、及び 既に補修済 の棟数	耐震化率	耐震化率順位		S56年以前 の建物に係 る耐震化率
		A	B				C	D=C/A			E	F=E/C	G	H			I=(E+H)/C	J=(E+G+H)/C	
1 北海道	194	123	71	36.6%	68	95.8% (90.1%)	26	(21)	0	3	100.0% (100.0%)	100.0%	63	95.9% (95.9%)	5	(6)	88.7%		
2 青森	79	46	33	41.8%	32	97.0% (72.7%)	25	(31)	0	1	100.0% (75.8%)	100.0%	24	88.6% (88.6%)	14	(12)	72.7%		
3 岩手	121	82	39	32.2%	39	100.0% (90.5%)	1	(1)	0	0	100.0% (100.0%)	100.0%	34	95.9% (92.7%)	5	(6)	87.2%		
4 宮城	92	62	30	32.6%	30	100.0% (100.0%)	1	(1)	0	0	100.0% (100.0%)	100.0%	28	97.8% (99.0%)	3	(3)	93.3%		
5 秋田	68	30	38	55.9%	38	100.0% (100.0%)	1	(1)	0	0	100.0% (100.0%)	100.0%	18	70.6% (70.6%)	28	(26)	47.4%		
6 山形	67	14	53	79.1%	53	100.0% (92.5%)	1	(19)	0	0	100.0% (100.0%)	100.0%	31	67.2% (55.2%)	32	(42)	58.5%		
7 福島	99	41	58	58.6%	29	50.0% (50.0%)	42	(41)	22	0	50.0% (69.0%)	87.9%	22	63.6% (63.6%)	37	(33)	37.9%		
8 茨城	148	85	63	42.6%	21	33.3% (25.4%)	45	(45)	9	0	33.3% (79.4%)	47.6%	6	61.5% (61.5%)	42	(36)	9.5%		
9 栃木	92	55	37	40.2%	2	5.4% (5.4%)	47	(47)	0	0	5.4% (5.4%)	5.4%	2	62.0% (62.0%)	41	(35)	5.4%		
10 群馬	103	34	69	67.0%	61	88.4% (80.9%)	29	(27)	6	1	89.9% (83.0%)	98.6%	60	91.3% (90.3%)	10	(11)	87.0%		
11 埼玉	185	97	88	47.6%	88	100.0% (100.0%)	1	(1)	0	0	100.0% (100.0%)	100.0%	78	94.6% (94.6%)	8	(8)	88.6%		
12 千葉	178	72	106	59.6%	106	100.0% (93.4%)	1	(18)	0	0	100.0% (94.3%)	100.0%	74	82.0% (82.0%)	19	(19)	69.8%		
13 東京	292	147	145	49.7%	141	97.2% (99.3%)	24	(15)	3	1	97.9% (99.3%)	100.0%	131	95.2% (97.2%)	7	(5)	90.3%		
14 神奈川	146	57	89	61.0%	74	83.1% (83.1%)	31	(25)	13	0	83.1% (83.1%)	97.8%	60	80.1% (79.5%)	20	(20)	67.4%		
15 新潟	100	47	53	53.0%	33	62.3% (52.8%)	41	(40)	15	0	62.3% (58.5%)	90.6%	25	72.0% (66.0%)	27	(29)	47.2%		
16 富山	83	45	38	45.8%	32	84.2% (84.2%)	30	(24)	3	2	89.5% (97.4%)	97.4%	8	63.9% (61.4%)	36	(37)	21.1%		
17 石川	68	39	29	42.6%	29	100.0% (100.0%)	1	(1)	0	0	100.0% (100.0%)	100.0%	15	79.4% (78.7%)	22	(21)	51.7%		
18 福井	63	17	46	73.0%	46	100.0% (100.0%)	1	(1)	0	0	100.0% (100.0%)	100.0%	40	90.5% (100.0%)	13	(1)	87.0%		
19 山梨	59	35	24	40.7%	24	100.0% (91.7%)	1	(20)	0	0	100.0% (100.0%)	100.0%	22	96.6% (85.3%)	4	(15)	91.7%		
20 長野	106	65	41	38.7%	17	41.5% (41.5%)	44	(44)	0	0	41.5% (41.5%)	41.5%	17	77.4% (73.5%)	23	(23)	41.5%		
21 岐阜	60	40	20	33.3%	20	100.0% (100.0%)	1	(1)	0	0	100.0% (100.0%)	100.0%	20	100.0% (98.4%)	1	(4)	100.0%		
22 静岡	108	46	62	57.4%	62	100.0% (98.4%)	1	(16)	0	0	100.0% (100.0%)	100.0%	61	99.1% (99.1%)	2	(2)	98.4%		
23 愛知	239	115	124	51.9%	124	100.0% (100.0%)	1	(1)	0	0	100.0% (100.0%)	100.0%	82	82.4% (82.5%)	18	(18)	66.1%		
24 三重	65	36	29	44.6%	29	100.0% (89.7%)	1	(22)	0	0	100.0% (100.0%)	100.0%	23	90.8% (86.6%)	12	(14)	79.3%		
25 滋賀	84	44	40	47.6%	32	80.0% (80.0%)	33	(28)	0	8	100.0% (97.5%)	100.0%	27	84.5% (84.5%)	15	(16)	67.5%		
26 京都	138	65	73	52.9%	73	100.0% (100.0%)	1	(1)	0	0	100.0% (100.0%)	100.0%	64	93.5% (94.2%)	9	(9)	87.7%		
27 大阪	300	113	187	62.3%	125	66.8% (53.4%)	39	(34)	62	0	66.8% (98.3%)	100.0%	74	62.3% (61.2%)	40	(28)	39.6%		
28 兵庫	271	103	168	62.0%	164	97.6% (91.0%)	23	(17)	4	0	97.6% (100.0%)	100.0%	34	50.6% (50.4%)	44	(43)	20.2%		
29 奈良	63	25	38	60.3%	38	100.0% (37.1%)	1	(33)	0	0	100.0% (40.0%)	100.0%	12	58.7% (60.3%)	43	(38)	31.6%		
30 和歌山	77	26	51	66.2%	51	100.0% (100.0%)	1	(1)	0	0	100.0% (100.0%)	100.0%	44	90.9% (89.6%)	11	(10)	86.3%		
31 鳥取	45	18	27	60.0%	18	66.7% (55.2%)	40	(38)	8	0	66.7% (96.6%)	96.3%	15	73.3% (70.8%)	26	(25)	55.6%		
32 島根	70	26	44	62.9%	30	68.2% (61.4%)	38	(35)	0	0	68.2% (100.0%)	68.2%	30	80.0% (75.7%)	21	(22)	68.2%		
33 岡山	74	45	29	39.2%	29	100.0% (75.9%)	1	(30)	0	0	100.0% (75.9%)	100.0%	2	63.5% (63.5%)	38	(34)	6.9%		
34 広島	149	66	83	55.7%	76	91.6% (89.0%)	28	(23)	6	0	91.6% (100.0%)	98.8%	6	48.3% (48.6%)	45	(44)	7.2%		
35 山口	87	46	41	47.1%	41	100.0% (100.0%)	1	(1)	0	0	100.0% (100.0%)	100.0%	14	69.0% (71.3%)	29	(24)	34.1%		
36 徳島	41	15	26	63.4%	12	46.2% (46.2%)	43	(43)	0	14	100.0% (100.0%)	100.0%	4	46.3% (46.3%)	46	(46)	15.4%		
37 香川	56	24	32	57.1%	22	68.8% (59.4%)	37	(36)	0	8	93.8% (93.8%)	93.8%	11	62.5% (58.9%)	39	(40)	34.4%		
38 愛媛	44	13	31	70.5%	3	9.7% (9.4%)	46	(46)	0	4	22.6% (9.4%)	22.6%	3	36.4% (35.6%)	47	(47)	9.7%		
39 高知	64	34	30	46.9%	24	80.0% (82.7%)	33	(26)	2	0	80.0% (82.7%)	86.7%	7	64.1% (57.8%)	35	(41)	23.3%		
40 福岡	258	141	117	45.3%	116	99.1% (55.7%)	22	(39)	0	0	99.1% (55.7%)	99.1%	29	65.9% (64.2%)	34	(32)	24.8%		
41 佐賀	59	23	36	61.0%	33	91.7% (100.0%)	27	(1)	0	3	100.0% (100.0%)	100.0%	26	83.1% (87.5%)	17	(13)	72.2%		
42 長崎	116	41	75	64.7%	58	77.3% (77.3%)	36	(29)	15	1	78.7% (98.7%)	98.7%	56	83.6% (83.3%)	16	(17)	74.7%		
43 熊本	107	55	52	48.6%	42	80.8% (57.5%)	32	(37)	5	5	90.4% (67.1%)	100.0%	18	68.2% (46.7%)	30	(45)	34.6%		
44 大分	62	27	35	56.5%	35	100.0% (68.6%)	1	(32)	0	0	100.0% (74.3%)	100.0%	20	75.8% (69.4%)	25	(27)	57.1%		
45 宮崎	96	32	64	66.7%	50	78.1% (50.0%)	35	(41)	14	0	78.1% (100.0%)	100.0%	42	77.1% (60.0%)	24	(39)	65.6%		
46 鹿児島	159	63	96	60.4%	96	100.0% (90.6%)	1	(1)	0	0	100.0% (100.0%)	100.0%	42	66.0% (66.0%)	33	(31)	43.8%		
47 沖縄	89	56	33	37.1%	33	100.0% (100.0%)	1	(1)	0	0	100.0% (100.0%)	100.0%	4	67.4% (66.3%)	31	(29)	12.1%		
合 計	5,324	2,531	2,793	52.5%	2,399	85.9% (78.1%)			187	51	87.7% (89.1%)	94.4%	1,528	76.2% (74.8%)			54.7%		

1 ( )内の数値については、平成18年4月1日現在の値。

2 「耐震診断H18年度中実施予定」は、設置者において財政部局等の関係部局と調整のうえ、耐震診断を平成18年度中に必ず実施できるものとしている。

3 「統廃合・改築等の計画」は、統廃合・廃校・改築・取壊しの年度が決定しているもの、生徒・教職員等が全く使用していないものとしている。