

高等学校

公立学校施設の耐震改修状況調査結果について(都道府県別)

平成18(2006)年12月31日現在

都道府県名	全棟数 A	57年以降 B	56年以前 C	56年以前の 全棟数に占める 割合 D=C/A	耐震診断 実施済棟数 E	耐震 診断実施率 F=E/C		耐震診断実施 率順位	耐震診断 H18年度中 実施予定	統廃合・改築 等の計画 G	「耐震診断実施済棟数」と 「改築・統廃合等の計画」 がある棟の割合を加えた場 合 I=(E+G)/C	「耐震診断実施済棟数」と 「H18年度中実施予定」と 「改築・統廃合等の計画」 がある棟の割合を加えた場 合 J=(E+G+H)/C	56年以前建 築の棟で耐震 性がある、及 び既に補強済 の棟数 K	耐震化率 L=(B+K)/A		耐震化率 順位	56年以前 の建物に係 る耐震化率 M=K/C			
1 北海道	710	449	261	36.8%	219	83.9%	(82.1%)	26	(20)	2	20	91.6%	(89.6%)	92.3%	136	82.4%	(81.5%)	4	(5)	52.1%
2 青森	442	141	301	68.1%	278	92.4%	(64.8%)	20	(30)	1	21	99.3%	(72.4%)	99.7%	171	70.6%	(70.6%)	13	(12)	56.8%
3 岩手	434	218	216	49.8%	120	55.6%	(77.5%)	38	(37)	74	20	64.8%	(98.4%)	99.1%	46	60.8%	(70.8%)	17	(16)	21.3%
4 宮城	708	296	412	58.2%	318	77.2%	(75.6%)	30	(24)	1	60	91.7%	(91.4%)	92.0%	251	77.3%	(75.1%)	7	(9)	60.9%
5 秋田	398	135	263	66.1%	216	82.1%	(77.5%)	28	(22)	24	23	90.9%	(96.4%)	100.0%	3	34.7%	(32.2%)	46	(46)	1.1%
6 山形	398	194	204	51.3%	172	84.3%	(70.1%)	25	(26)	11	0	84.3%	(89.7%)	89.7%	20	53.8%	(52.9%)	26	(27)	9.8%
7 福島	754	199	555	73.6%	392	70.6%	(68.1%)	32	(28)	116	4	71.4%	(76.6%)	92.3%	159	47.5%	(46.3%)	34	(34)	28.6%
8 茨城	546	218	328	60.1%	182	55.5%	(46.5%)	39	(35)	23	41	68.0%	(79.0%)	75.0%	71	52.9%	(52.2%)	27	(26)	21.6%
9 栃木	615	220	395	64.2%	145	36.7%	(36.7%)	45	(45)	0	28	43.8%	(36.7%)	43.8%	85	49.6%	(49.6%)	31	(29)	21.5%
10 群馬	841	401	440	52.3%	440	100.0%	(99.1%)	1	(5)	0	0	100.0%	(99.6%)	100.0%	339	88.0%	(87.0%)	2	(4)	77.0%
11 埼玉	681	205	476	69.9%	463	97.3%	(95.4%)	13	(13)	10	3	97.9%	(99.0%)	100.0%	279	71.1%	(70.9%)	12	(11)	58.6%
12 千葉	846	238	608	71.9%	570	93.8%	(92.4%)	16	(15)	21	17	96.5%	(98.4%)	100.0%	234	55.8%	(55.8%)	22	(20)	38.5%
13 東京	1,770	831	939	53.1%	939	100.0%	(100.0%)	1	(1)	0	0	100.0%	(100.0%)	100.0%	781	91.1%	(88.6%)	1	(2)	83.2%
14 神奈川	845	260	585	69.2%	420	71.8%	(71.8%)	31	(25)	73	0	71.8%	(74.2%)	84.3%	175	51.5%	(51.5%)	29	(28)	29.9%
15 新潟	697	198	499	71.6%	214	42.9%	(38.6%)	44	(44)	209	41	51.1%	(54.4%)	93.0%	151	50.1%	(45.2%)	30	(37)	30.3%
16 富山	355	148	207	58.3%	202	97.6%	(97.6%)	12	(10)	0	5	100.0%	(97.6%)	100.0%	21	47.6%	(47.6%)	33	(32)	10.1%
17 石川	447	178	269	60.2%	252	93.7%	(61.5%)	17	(27)	0	17	100.0%	(63.5%)	100.0%	108	64.0%	(53.2%)	14	(17)	40.1%
18 福井	310	145	165	53.2%	165	100.0%	(100.0%)	1	(1)	0	0	100.0%	(100.0%)	100.0%	78	71.9%	(99.5%)	10	(1)	47.3%
19 山梨	247	126	121	49.0%	113	93.4%	(89.3%)	18	(16)	1	0	93.4%	(99.2%)	94.2%	51	71.7%	(70.0%)	11	(13)	42.1%
20 長野	892	338	554	62.1%	141	25.5%	(25.5%)	46	(46)	5	28	30.5%	(27.1%)	31.4%	56	44.2%	(44.0%)	41	(42)	10.1%
21 岐阜	432	181	251	58.1%	251	100.0%	(100.0%)	1	(1)	0	0	100.0%	(100.0%)	100.0%	199	88.0%	(87.3%)	2	(3)	79.3%
22 静岡	949	271	678	71.4%	677	99.9%	(99.6%)	6	(5)	0	1	100.0%	(99.9%)	100.0%	450	76.0%	(75.3%)	8	(8)	66.4%
23 愛知	1,312	395	917	69.9%	912	99.5%	(99.5%)	7	(7)	0	5	100.0%	(100.0%)	100.0%	326	55.0%	(54.5%)	24	(22)	35.6%
24 三重	619	214	405	65.4%	401	99.0%	(87.6%)	9	(17)	0	2	99.5%	(99.0%)	99.5%	296	82.4%	(77.1%)	4	(7)	73.1%
25 滋賀	529	251	278	52.6%	266	95.7%	(95.7%)	14	(12)	6	6	97.8%	(100.0%)	100.0%	45	56.0%	(56.0%)	21	(18)	16.2%
26 京都	607	263	344	56.7%	344	100.0%	(100.0%)	1	(1)	0	0	100.0%	(100.0%)	100.0%	83	57.0%	(55.4%)	19	(21)	24.1%
27 大阪	1,414	372	1,042	73.7%	921	88.4%	(58.9%)	23	(37)	109	9	89.3%	(99.1%)	99.7%	133	35.7%	(32.2%)	45	(16)	12.8%
28 兵庫	1,230	403	827	67.2%	813	98.3%	(96.7%)	11	(11)	3	11	99.6%	(100.0%)	100.0%	161	45.9%	(45.7%)	37	(36)	19.5%
29 奈良	319	127	192	60.2%	169	88.0%	(29.8%)	24	(43)	0	23	100.0%	(30.2%)	100.0%	26	48.0%	(48.0%)	32	(31)	13.5%
30 和歌山	395	121	274	69.4%	272	99.3%	(94.2%)	8	(9)	0	2	100.0%	(99.6%)	100.0%	178	75.7%	(74.2%)	9	(10)	65.0%
31 鳥取	232	86	146	62.9%	88	60.3%	(43.4%)	36	(41)	39	0	60.3%	(99.1%)	87.0%	14	43.1%	(43.2%)	43	(41)	9.6%
32 島根	268	90	178	66.4%	118	66.3%	(64.0%)	34	(31)	0	14	74.2%	(100.0%)	74.2%	64	57.5%	(62.3%)	18	(14)	36.0%
33 岡山	569	212	357	62.7%	336	94.1%	(84.1%)	15	(18)	11	9	96.6%	(91.2%)	99.7%	46	45.3%	(44.6%)	38	(38)	12.9%
34 広島	1,279	559	720	56.3%	603	83.8%	(74.7%)	27	(23)	80	36	88.8%	(100.0%)	99.9%	36	46.5%	(47.6%)	36	(34)	5.0%
35 山口	589	189	400	67.9%	396	99.0%	(99.0%)	9	(8)	4	0	99.0%	(100.0%)	100.0%	67	43.5%	(43.0%)	42	(43)	16.8%
36 徳島	336	88	248	73.8%	54	21.8%	(21.5%)	47	(47)	122	72	50.8%	(63.3%)	100.0%	13	30.1%	(28.7%)	47	(47)	5.2%
37 香川	406	140	266	65.5%	160	60.2%	(54.9%)	37	(34)	0	25	69.5%	(68.4%)	69.5%	44	45.3%	(44.6%)	38	(39)	16.5%
38 愛媛	411	137	274	66.7%	126	46.0%	(27.0%)	43	(42)	0	0	46.0%	(43.3%)	46.0%	18	37.7%	(36.5%)	44	(44)	6.6%
39 高知	442	219	223	50.5%	103	46.2%	(44.7%)	42	(40)	15	7	49.3%	(56.0%)	56.1%	12	52.3%	(49.6%)	28	(29)	5.4%
40 福岡	1,492	700	792	53.1%	644	81.3%	(43.7%)	29	(32)	11	132	98.0%	(67.3%)	99.4%	112	54.4%	(51.0%)	25	(24)	14.1%
41 佐賀	317	134	183	57.7%	171	93.4%	(93.4%)	18	(14)	0	12	100.0%	(100.0%)	100.0%	63	62.1%	(62.0%)	16	(15)	34.4%
42 長崎	599	242	357	59.6%	184	51.5%	(50.8%)	40	(36)	86	25	58.5%	(81.5%)	82.6%	25	44.6%	(44.1%)	40	(40)	7.0%
43 熊本	787	349	438	55.7%	309	70.5%	(64.9%)	33	(29)	120	9	72.6%	(75.2%)	100.0%	141	62.3%	(54.7%)	15	(22)	32.2%
44 大分	498	160	338	67.9%	308	91.1%	(48.4%)	21	(38)	3	27	99.1%	(61.7%)	100.0%	123	56.8%	(56.1%)	20	(18)	36.4%
45 宮崎	609	212	397	65.2%	201	50.6%	(47.3%)	41	(39)	190	6	52.1%	(96.8%)	100.0%	125	55.3%	(54.0%)	23	(25)	31.5%
46 鹿児島	1,021	257	764	74.8%	687	89.9%	(53.7%)	22	(19)	51	14	91.8%	(75.4%)	98.4%	227	47.4%	(47.2%)	35	(33)	29.7%
47 沖縄	552	440	112	20.3%	69	61.6%	(55.0%)	35	(33)	36	7	67.9%	(100.0%)	100.0%	8	81.2%	(80.8%)	6	(6)	7.1%
合 計	31,149	11,950	19,199	61.6%	15,544	81.0%	(72.0%)			1,457	782	85.0%	(84.7%)	92.6%	6,250	58.4%	(57.5%)			32.6%

1 ( )内の数値については、平成18年4月1日現在の値。

2 「耐震診断H18年度中実施予定」は、設置者において財政部局等の関係部局と調整のうえ、耐震診断を平成18年度中に必ず実施できるものとしている。

3 「統廃合・改築等の計画」は、統廃合・廃校・改築・取壊しの年度が決定しているもの、生徒・教職員等が全く使用していないものとしている。