

特殊教育諸学校

公立学校施設の耐震改修状況調査結果について(都道府県別)

平成18(2006)年4月1日現在

都道府県名	全棟数	S57年以降	S56年以前	S56年以前の 全棟数に占める割合	耐震診断 実施済棟数	耐震診断実施率		耐震診断実施率の 順位		耐震診断 H18年中 実施予定	統廃合・改築 の計画	「H18年中実 施予定」及び 「統廃合・改築 の計画」を耐震 診断実施済みと 見なした場合	S56年以前建 築の棟で耐震 性がある、及 び既に補強済 の棟数	耐震化率	耐震化率順位		耐震化率の伸び率		S56年以前 の建物に係る 耐震化率			
						F=E/C		順位	順位						順位	順位	順位	順位				
						伸び率	順位															
1 北海道	194	123	71	36.6%	64	90.1%	(86.1%)	21	(16)	4.0%	24	4	3	100.0%	63	95.9%	(89.2%)	6	(9)	6.7%	9	88.7%
2 青森	79	46	33	41.8%	24	72.7%	(72.7%)	31	(24)	0.0%	31	0	1	75.8%	24	88.6%	(88.6%)	12	(11)	0.0%	33	72.7%
3 岩手	124	82	42	33.9%	38	90.5%	(84.4%)	20	(17)	6.1%	18	3	1	100.0%	33	92.7%	(88.7%)	9	(10)	4.0%	16	78.6%
4 宮城	97	57	40	41.2%	40	100.0%	(100.0%)	1	(1)	0.0%	31	0	0	100.0%	39	99.0%	(89.5%)	3	(8)	9.5%	5	97.5%
5 秋田	68	30	38	55.9%	38	100.0%	(76.3%)	1	(21)	23.7%	7	0	0	100.0%	18	70.6%	(70.6%)	26	(24)	0.0%	33	47.4%
6 山形	67	14	53	79.1%	49	92.5%	(67.9%)	16	(29)	24.6%	6	4	0	100.0%	23	55.2%	(38.8%)	42	(45)	16.4%	2	43.4%
7 福島	99	41	58	58.6%	29	50.0%	(53.7%)	40	(31)	-3.7%	47	11	0	69.0%	22	63.6%	(66.3%)	32	(27)	-2.7%	47	37.9%
8 茨城	148	85	63	42.6%	16	25.4%	(23.8%)	45	(43)	1.6%	28	34	0	79.4%	6	61.5%	(61.0%)	35	(32)	0.5%	30	9.5%
9 栃木	92	55	37	40.2%	2	5.4%	(5.4%)	47	(46)	0.0%	31	0	0	5.4%	2	62.0%	(62.0%)	34	(31)	0.0%	33	5.4%
10 群馬	103	56	47	45.6%	38	80.9%	(80.9%)	27	(20)	0.0%	31	0	1	83.0%	37	90.3%	(90.1%)	10	(7)	0.2%	32	78.7%
11 埼玉	185	97	88	47.6%	88	100.0%	(89.8%)	1	(13)	10.2%	12	0	0	100.0%	78	94.6%	(94.6%)	7	(5)	0.0%	33	88.6%
12 千葉	178	72	106	59.6%	99	93.4%	(71.7%)	15	(26)	21.7%	8	0	1	94.3%	74	82.0%	(72.2%)	19	(22)	9.8%	4	69.8%
13 東京	286	142	144	50.3%	143	99.3%	(99.3%)	13	(8)	0.0%	31	0	0	99.3%	136	97.2%	(96.8%)	5	(2)	0.4%	31	94.4%
14 神奈川	146	57	89	61.0%	74	83.1%	(81.8%)	25	(19)	1.3%	29	0	0	83.1%	59	79.5%	(78.3%)	20	(18)	1.2%	25	66.3%
15 新潟	100	47	53	53.0%	28	52.8%	(48.3%)	39	(34)	4.5%	20	3	0	58.5%	19	66.0%	(48.0%)	29	(42)	18.0%	1	35.8%
16 富山	83	45	38	45.8%	32	84.2%	(7.9%)	24	(45)	76.3%	1	3	2	97.4%	6	61.4%	(53.7%)	36	(39)	7.7%	7	15.8%
17 石川	61	34	27	44.3%	27	100.0%	(100.0%)	1	(1)	0.0%	31	0	0	100.0%	14	78.7%	(73.7%)	21	(21)	5.0%	15	51.9%
18 福井	77	31	46	59.7%	46	100.0%	(100.0%)	1	(1)	0.0%	31	0	0	100.0%	46	100.0%	(100.0%)	1	(1)	0.0%	33	100.0%
19 山梨	68	32	36	52.9%	33	91.7%	(91.7%)	17	(12)	0.0%	31	0	3	100.0%	26	85.3%	(85.3%)	15	(15)	0.0%	33	72.2%
20 長野	98	57	41	41.8%	17	41.5%	(34.0%)	43	(39)	7.5%	14	0	0	41.5%	15	73.5%	(67.7%)	23	(26)	5.8%	11	36.6%
21 岐阜	61	38	23	37.7%	23	100.0%	(95.8%)	1	(11)	4.2%	23	0	0	100.0%	22	98.4%	(93.2%)	4	(6)	5.2%	14	95.7%
22 静岡	108	46	62	57.4%	61	98.4%	(98.4%)	14	(9)	0.0%	31	1	0	100.0%	61	99.1%	(96.3%)	2	(3)	2.8%	20	98.4%
23 愛知	240	111	129	53.8%	129	100.0%	(100.0%)	1	(1)	0.0%	31	0	0	100.0%	87	82.5%	(81.8%)	18	(17)	0.7%	28	67.4%
24 三重	67	38	29	43.3%	26	89.7%	(82.8%)	22	(18)	6.9%	15	3	0	100.0%	20	86.6%	(85.5%)	14	(14)	1.1%	27	69.0%
25 滋賀	84	44	40	47.6%	32	80.0%	(75.6%)	28	(23)	4.4%	21	0	7	97.5%	27	84.5%	(77.4%)	16	(19)	7.1%	8	67.5%
26 京都	138	66	72	52.2%	72	100.0%	(97.2%)	1	(10)	2.8%	25	0	0	100.0%	64	94.2%	(94.8%)	8	(4)	-0.6%	45	88.9%
27 大阪	281	105	176	62.6%	94	53.4%	(49.1%)	38	(33)	4.3%	22	79	0	98.3%	67	61.2%	(57.3%)	37	(37)	3.9%	17	38.1%
28 兵庫	268	101	167	62.3%	152	91.0%	(88.8%)	18	(15)	2.2%	27	15	0	100.0%	34	50.4%	(48.4%)	43	(41)	2.0%	21	20.4%
29 奈良	58	23	35	60.3%	13	37.1%	(34.3%)	44	(38)	2.8%	25	1	0	40.0%	12	60.3%	(60.3%)	38	(34)	0.0%	33	34.3%
30 和歌山	77	26	51	66.2%	51	100.0%	(100.0%)	1	(1)	0.0%	31	0	0	100.0%	43	89.6%	(88.3%)	11	(12)	1.3%	24	84.3%
31 鳥取	48	19	29	60.4%	16	55.2%	(44.8%)	37	(37)	10.4%	11	12	0	96.6%	15	70.8%	(64.6%)	25	(29)	6.2%	10	51.7%
32 島根	70	26	44	62.9%	27	61.4%	(61.4%)	33	(30)	0.0%	31	0	17	100.0%	27	75.7%	(75.7%)	22	(20)	0.0%	33	61.4%
33 岡山	74	45	29	39.2%	22	75.9%	(47.6%)	30	(36)	28.3%	5	0	0	75.9%	2	63.5%	(57.8%)	33	(35)	5.7%	12	6.9%
34 広島	148	66	82	55.4%	73	89.0%	(70.2%)	23	(27)	18.8%	10	9	0	100.0%	6	48.6%	(50.6%)	44	(40)	-2.0%	46	7.3%
35 山口	87	46	41	47.1%	41	100.0%	(100.0%)	1	(1)	0.0%	31	0	0	100.0%	16	71.3%	(71.3%)	24	(23)	0.0%	33	39.0%
36 徳島	41	15	26	63.4%	12	46.2%	(1.8%)	42	(47)	44.4%	3	0	14	100.0%	4	46.3%	(37.5%)	46	(46)	8.8%	6	15.4%
37 香川	56	24	32	57.1%	19	59.4%	(53.1%)	34	(32)	6.3%	17	3	8	93.8%	9	58.9%	(55.4%)	40	(38)	3.5%	19	28.1%
38 愛媛	45	13	32	71.1%	3	9.4%	(9.4%)	46	(44)	0.0%	31	0	0	9.4%	3	35.6%	(31.8%)	47	(47)	3.8%	18	9.4%
39 高知	102	50	52	51.0%	43	82.7%	(76.0%)	26	(22)	6.7%	16	0	0	82.7%	9	57.8%	(57.8%)	41	(35)	0.0%	33	17.3%
40 福岡	243	128	115	47.3%	64	55.7%	(24.1%)	36	(42)	31.6%	4	0	0	55.7%	28	64.2%	(62.2%)	31	(30)	2.0%	21	24.3%
41 佐賀	56	23	33	58.9%	33	100.0%	(100.0%)	1	(1)	0.0%	31	0	0	100.0%	26	87.5%	(87.5%)	13	(13)	0.0%	33	78.8%
42 長崎	114	39	75	65.8%	58	77.3%	(72.5%)	29	(25)	4.8%	19	15	1	98.7%	56	83.3%	(82.1%)	17	(16)	1.2%	25	74.7%
43 熊本	107	34	73	68.2%	42	57.5%	(47.9%)	35	(35)	9.6%	13	2	5	67.1%	16	46.7%	(45.3%)	45	(43)	1.4%	23	21.9%
44 大分	62	27	35	56.5%	24	68.6%	(68.6%)	32	(28)	0.0%	31	2	0	74.3%	16	69.4%	(69.4%)	27	(25)	0.0%	33	45.7%
45 宮崎	95	31	64	67.4%	32	50.0%	(28.6%)	40	(40)	21.4%	9	32	0	100.0%	26	60.0%	(43.6%)	39	(44)	16.4%	2	40.6%
46 鹿児島	159	63	96	60.4%	87	90.6%	(89.7%)	19	(14)	0.9%	30	9	0	100.0%	42	66.0%	(65.4%)	29	(28)	0.6%	29	43.8%
47 沖縄	89	56	33	37.1%	33	100.0%	(24.3%)	1	(41)	75.7%	2	0	0	100.0%	3	66.3%	(60.7%)	28	(33)	5.6%	13	9.1%
合計	5,331	2,506	2,825	53.0%	2,207	78.1%	(68.5%)			9.6%		245	64	89.1%	1,481	74.8%	(71.5%)			3.3%		52.4%

※1 ( )内の数値については、平成17年度公表の数値。  
 ※2 「耐震診断H18年中実施予定」は、設置者において財務部局等の関係部局と調整のうえ、耐震診断を平成18年中に必ず実施できるものとしている。  
 ※3 「統廃合・改築の計画」は、統廃合・廃校・改築の年度が決定しているものとしている。