

公立学校施設の耐震改修状況調査結果について(都道府県別)

平成19(2007)年4月1日現在

都道府県名	全棟数	S57年以降			S56年以前の 全棟数に占める割合 D=C/A	耐震診断 実施済棟数	耐震 診断実施率		耐震診断実施 率順位		耐震診断実施率の 伸び率	耐震診断 H19年度中 実施予定	統廃合・改築 等の計画	「耐震診断実施済棟数」に 「統廃合・改築等の計画」が ある棟を加えた割合		「耐震診断実施済棟数」と 「H19年度中実施予定」 と「統廃合・改築等の計画」 がある棟を加えた割合		S56年以前建 築の棟で耐震 性がある、及 び既に耐震 の棟数	耐震化率 L=(B+K)/A	耐震化率順位		S56年以前 の建物に係 る耐震化率	耐震診断・ 耐震性につ いて学校の 公表状況
		A	B	C			E	F=E/C	G	H				I=(E+H)/C	J=(E+H)/A	K	L			M	N		
									伸び率	順位													
1 北海道	194	123	71	36.6%	68	95.8%	(90.1%)	33	(21)	5.7%	27	0	3	100.0%	(100.0%)	100.0%	63	95.9%	(95.9%)	7	(6)	88.7%	
2 青森	78	45	33	42.3%	32	97.0%	(72.7%)	30	(31)	24.3%	10	0	1	100.0%	(75.8%)	100.0%	24	88.5%	(88.6%)	15	(12)	72.7%	
3 岩手	88	49	39	44.3%	39	100.0%	(90.5%)	1	(20)	9.5%	17	0	0	100.0%	(100.0%)	100.0%	34	94.3%	(92.7%)	8	(9)	87.2%	
4 宮城	92	62	30	32.6%	30	100.0%	(100.0%)	1	(1)	0.0%	32	0	0	100.0%	(100.0%)	100.0%	28	97.8%	(99.0%)	3	(3)	93.3%	
5 秋田	68	30	38	55.9%	38	100.0%	(100.0%)	1	(1)	0.0%	32	0	0	100.0%	(100.0%)	100.0%	18	70.6%	(70.6%)	32	(26)	47.4%	
6 山形	67	14	53	79.1%	53	100.0%	(92.5%)	1	(16)	7.5%	23	0	0	100.0%	(100.0%)	100.0%	31	67.2%	(55.2%)	38	(42)	58.5%	
7 福島	85	29	56	65.9%	46	82.1%	(50.0%)	39	(40)	32.1%	8	3	0	82.1%	(69.0%)	87.5%	25	63.5%	(63.6%)	41	(32)	44.6%	○
8 茨城	155	80	75	48.4%	29	38.7%	(25.4%)	45	(45)	13.3%	14	13	0	38.7%	(79.4%)	56.0%	11	58.7%	(61.5%)	43	(35)	14.7%	
9 栃木	92	55	37	40.2%	2	5.4%	(5.4%)	47	(47)	0.0%	32	12	0	5.4%	(5.4%)	37.8%	2	62.0%	(62.0%)	42	(34)	5.4%	○
10 群馬	123	73	50	40.7%	44	88.0%	(80.9%)	37	(27)	7.1%	24	0	1	90.0%	(83.0%)	90.0%	39	91.1%	(90.3%)	12	(10)	78.0%	
11 埼玉	192	102	90	46.9%	90	100.0%	(100.0%)	1	(1)	0.0%	32	0	0	100.0%	(100.0%)	100.0%	83	96.4%	(94.6%)	6	(7)	92.2%	○
12 千葉	175	70	105	60.0%	105	100.0%	(93.4%)	1	(15)	6.6%	26	0	0	100.0%	(94.3%)	100.0%	75	82.9%	(82.0%)	21	(19)	71.4%	○
13 東京	288	146	142	49.3%	141	99.3%	(99.3%)	27	(13)	0.0%	32	0	0	99.3%	(99.3%)	99.3%	132	96.5%	(97.2%)	5	(5)	93.0%	
14 神奈川	146	57	89	61.0%	89	100.0%	(83.1%)	1	(25)	16.9%	13	0	0	100.0%	(83.1%)	100.0%	66	84.2%	(79.5%)	19	(20)	74.2%	
15 新潟	100	47	53	53.0%	51	96.2%	(52.8%)	32	(38)	43.4%	5	2	0	96.2%	(58.5%)	100.0%	25	72.0%	(66.0%)	30	(29)	47.2%	
16 富山	86	48	38	44.2%	35	92.1%	(84.2%)	34	(24)	7.9%	22	1	2	97.4%	(97.4%)	100.0%	16	74.4%	(61.4%)	28	(36)	42.1%	
17 石川	68	39	29	42.6%	29	100.0%	(100.0%)	1	(1)	0.0%	32	0	0	100.0%	(100.0%)	100.0%	15	79.4%	(78.7%)	24	(21)	51.7%	
18 福井	63	17	46	73.0%	46	100.0%	(100.0%)	1	(1)	0.0%	32	0	0	100.0%	(100.0%)	100.0%	40	90.5%	(100.0%)	13	(1)	87.0%	
19 山梨	58	34	24	41.4%	24	100.0%	(91.7%)	1	(17)	8.3%	21	0	0	100.0%	(100.0%)	100.0%	22	96.6%	(85.3%)	4	(15)	91.7%	
20 長野	109	68	41	37.6%	17	41.5%	(41.5%)	44	(43)	0.0%	32	9	0	41.5%	(41.5%)	63.4%	17	78.0%	(73.5%)	27	(23)	41.5%	
21 岐阜	53	32	21	39.6%	21	100.0%	(100.0%)	1	(1)	0.0%	32	0	0	100.0%	(100.0%)	100.0%	21	100.0%	(98.4%)	1	(4)	100.0%	○
22 静岡	108	46	62	57.4%	62	100.0%	(98.4%)	1	(14)	1.6%	29	0	0	100.0%	(100.0%)	100.0%	61	99.1%	(99.1%)	2	(2)	98.4%	○
23 愛知	238	114	124	52.1%	124	100.0%	(100.0%)	1	(1)	0.0%	32	0	0	100.0%	(100.0%)	100.0%	82	82.4%	(82.5%)	22	(18)	66.1%	○
24 三重	66	37	29	43.9%	29	100.0%	(89.7%)	1	(22)	10.3%	16	0	0	100.0%	(100.0%)	100.0%	25	93.9%	(86.6%)	9	(14)	86.2%	
25 滋賀	85	44	41	48.2%	33	80.5%	(80.0%)	40	(28)	0.5%	31	0	8	100.0%	(97.5%)	100.0%	30	87.1%	(84.5%)	16	(16)	73.2%	
26 京都	138	65	73	52.9%	73	100.0%	(100.0%)	1	(1)	0.0%	32	0	0	100.0%	(100.0%)	100.0%	64	93.5%	(94.2%)	10	(8)	87.7%	○
27 大阪	281	102	179	63.7%	179	100.0%	(53.4%)	1	(38)	46.6%	3	0	0	100.0%	(98.3%)	100.0%	87	67.3%	(61.2%)	37	(37)	48.6%	△
28 兵庫	269	97	172	63.9%	172	100.0%	(91.0%)	1	(18)	9.0%	20	0	0	100.0%	(100.0%)	100.0%	46	53.2%	(50.4%)	44	(43)	26.7%	
29 奈良	72	34	38	52.8%	38	100.0%	(37.1%)	1	(44)	62.9%	1	0	0	100.0%	(40.0%)	100.0%	12	63.9%	(60.3%)	40	(38)	31.6%	
30 和歌山	77	26	51	66.2%	51	100.0%	(100.0%)	1	(1)	0.0%	32	0	0	100.0%	(100.0%)	100.0%	46	93.5%	(89.6%)	10	(11)	90.2%	
31 鳥取	45	18	27	60.0%	26	96.3%	(55.2%)	31	(37)	41.1%	6	0	0	96.3%	(96.6%)	96.3%	20	84.4%	(70.8%)	18	(25)	74.1%	○
32 島根	71	27	44	62.0%	30	68.2%	(61.4%)	42	(33)	6.8%	25	14	0	68.2%	(100.0%)	100.0%	30	80.3%	(75.7%)	23	(22)	68.2%	
33 岡山	81	51	30	37.0%	30	100.0%	(75.9%)	1	(30)	24.1%	11	0	0	100.0%	(75.9%)	100.0%	4	67.9%	(63.5%)	36	(33)	13.3%	
34 広島	140	61	79	56.4%	79	100.0%	(89.0%)	1	(23)	11.0%	15	0	0	100.0%	(100.0%)	100.0%	6	47.9%	(48.6%)	45	(44)	7.6%	
35 山口	87	46	41	47.1%	41	100.0%	(100.0%)	1	(1)	0.0%	32	0	0	100.0%	(100.0%)	100.0%	17	72.4%	(71.3%)	29	(24)	41.5%	○
36 徳島	40	15	25	62.5%	11	44.0%	(46.2%)	43	(42)	-2.2%	46	0	14	100.0%	(100.0%)	100.0%	4	47.5%	(46.3%)	46	(46)	16.0%	○
37 香川	63	31	32	50.8%	22	68.8%	(59.4%)	41	(34)	9.4%	18	0	8	93.8%	(93.8%)	93.8%	13	69.8%	(58.9%)	33	(40)	40.6%	○
38 愛媛	42	13	29	69.0%	3	10.3%	(9.4%)	46	(46)	0.9%	30	13	0	10.3%	(9.4%)	55.2%	3	38.1%	(35.6%)	47	(47)	10.3%	
39 高知	49	26	23	46.9%	20	87.0%	(82.7%)	38	(26)	4.3%	28	1	1	91.3%	(82.7%)	95.7%	8	69.4%	(57.8%)	35	(41)	34.8%	○
40 福岡	271	147	124	45.8%	123	99.2%	(55.7%)	28	(36)	43.5%	4	0	0	99.2%	(55.7%)	99.2%	31	65.7%	(64.2%)	39	(31)	25.0%	
41 佐賀	60	24	36	60.0%	33	91.7%	(100.0%)	35	(1)	-8.3%	47	0	3	100.0%	(100.0%)	100.0%	26	83.3%	(87.5%)	20	(13)	72.2%	
42 長崎	114	40	74	64.9%	73	98.6%	(77.3%)	29	(29)	21.3%	12	1	0	98.6%	(98.7%)	100.0%	62	89.5%	(83.3%)	14	(17)	83.8%	
43 熊本	107	55	52	48.6%	47	90.4%	(57.5%)	36	(35)	32.9%	7	0	5	100.0%	(67.1%)	100.0%	22	72.0%	(46.7%)	30	(45)	42.3%	
44 大分	62	27	35	56.5%	35	100.0%	(66.6%)	1	(32)	31.4%	9	0	0	100.0%	(74.3%)	100.0%	22	79.0%	(69.4%)	25	(27)	62.9%	
45 宮崎	96	32	64	66.7%	64	100.0%	(50.0%)	1	(40)	50.0%	2	0	0	100.0%	(100.0%)	100.0%	50	85.4%	(60.0%)	17	(39)	78.1%	
46 鹿児島	159	63	96	60.4%	96	100.0%	(90.6%)	1	(18)	9.4%	18	0	0	100.0%	(100.0%)	100.0%	48	69.8%	(66.0%)	33	(29)	50.0%	
47 沖縄	89	66	23	25.8%	23	100.0%	(100.0%)	1	(1)	0.0%	32	0	0	100.0%	(100.0%)	100.0%	4	78.7%	(66.3%)	26	(28)	17.4%	
合 計	5,290	2,527	2,763	52.2%	2,546	92.1%	(78.1%)			14.0%		69	46	93.8%	(89.1%)	96.3%	1,610	78.2%	(74.8%)			58.3%	

※1 ()内の数値については、平成18年4月1日現在の値。
 ※2 「耐震診断H19年中実施予定」は、設置者において財政部局等の関係部局と調整のうえ、耐震診断を平成19年度中に必ず実施できるものとしている。
 ※3 「統廃合・改築等の計画」は、統廃合・廃校・改築・取壊しの年度が決定しているもの、生徒・教職員等が全く使用していないものとしている。
 ※4 「耐震診断・耐震性について学校名の公表状況」は、耐震診断の結果について、既に学校名を公表している場合は「○」、平成19年度中に学校名の公表を予定している場合は「△」としている。